Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JAIME SANCHEZ,

Plaintiff,

v.

WYNDHAM VACATION OWNERSHIP, INC.,

Defendant.

Case No. 4:19-cv-02699-KAW

ORDER REGARDING PROPOSED STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER

Re: Dkt. No. 18

On August 9, 2019, the parties filed a stipulated protective order. They did not, however, indicate whether they were using a model protective order or a modified protective order as required by the Court's Standing Order. (See Judge Westmore's General Standing Order ¶ 11.)

Accordingly, the parties are ordered to submit, within 7 days of this order, (a) a declaration stating that the proposed order is identical to one of the model orders, (b) a declaration explaining each modification to the model order, along with a redline version comparing the proposed protective order with the model order, or (c) a declaration explaining why use of one of the model orders is not practicable.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 12, 2019

United States Magistrate Judge